

REPORT *Published May 21, 2012* • 15 minute read

Senate Battlegrounds: Democrats Need a Moderate Majority



Michelle Diggles, Ph.D.

Former Senior Political Analyst



<u>Lanae Erickson</u> <u>Hatalsky</u>

Vice President for the Social Policy & Politics Program

₩@LanaeErickson

This report analyzes the toss-up U.S. Senate races and finds that moderates will determine control in 2012. Crucially for Democrats, they must garner a majority of moderates in 9 of the 10 toss-ups to win, and in 7 of those, the bar is even higher—Democrats must clear 60% to win. In this report, we:

- 1. Show that moderates are crucial to victory in Senate battleground states; and,
- 2. Provide detailed state-by-state analysis of the role moderates will play in the toss-up Senate races.

The Overview

Moderates are crucial to victory in battleground Senate states.

They say sixty is the new fifty. But 50+1 still constitutes majority control of the U.S. Senate. This year 33 seats are up for election—21 Democratic, 2 Independent, and 10 Republican.

Based on current projections, 8 seats are expected to remain Democratic—California, Delaware, Maryland, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont—and 5 Republican—Mississippi, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming. Of the remaining 20 Senate races, 7 lean or are likely Democratic—Connecticut, Florida, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Washington, and West Virginia—and 2 currently lean Republican—Arizona and Nebraska. One, Maine, is likely to be won by former Governor Angus King, an Independent widely expected to caucus with Democrats. Thus, Democrats must win 5 and Republicans 7 of the remaining 10 toss-up races to claim the Senate gavel.

Of the Senate toss-ups where there is no major third party candidate,* the Democratic candidate has to win a majority of moderates in 9 of the 10 races to be victorious in November. And in 7 of those, the bar is even higher—the Democratic candidate would need at least 60% of the moderate vote to win.**

Due to Maine's unique election, it is not included in this estimate.

Based on exit polls from the past two presidential elections and the past two cycles for these Senate seats—which were up for election last in 2006 and before that in 2000—we calculated the approximate percent of moderates each candidate would need to win in November. Assuming that the Democratic candidate wins 85% of the liberal vote (and 15% of the conservative vote) and the Republican candidate garners 85% of the conservative vote (and 15% of the liberal vote), we calculated that on average in these ten states the Democratic candidate would need 59% of moderates and the Republican candidate 42%.

Estimated Percent of Moderates Senate Candidates Need to Win in 2012

State	Democrat Candidate	Republican Candidate
Hawaii	51%	51%
Indiana	69%	32%
Massachusetts	43%	58%
Missouri	62%	39%
Montana	61%	40%
Nevada	61%	40%
New Mexico	60%	41%
North Dakota	65%	36%
Virginia	60%	41%
Wisconsin	56%	44%
Average	59%	42%

Looking at the 2006 exit polls, when these Senate seats were last in cycle, moderates were the plurality of voters. In 4

^{*} See Appendix B for all of the data sources in this report.

states—Hawaii, Massachusetts, North Dakota, and Wisconsin—moderates comprised 50% or more of the 2006 electorate.

On average in these states, 47.3% of the electorate was moderate in 2006.

In the states where 2006 exit polls are available,* Senators, on average, won with 66.2% of the moderate vote in 2006. Only former Sen. Ensign (R-NV) won in 2006 with less than half of the moderate vote. All but one of the Democratic Senators received at least half of the moderate vote in 2006 to win, with 7 Democrats receiving 60% or more.

Indiana is not included in this analysis because they did not have an exit poll for the 2006 election.

Senate Toss-Ups and Moderate Voters in 2006*

Senator	% Moderates Won	Moderates as % of Electorate
Sen. Akaka (D-HI)	64%	52%
Sen. Lugar (R-IN)	N/A	N/A
Sen. Kennedy (D-MA)	68%	50%
Sen. McCaskill (D-MO)	62%	43%
Sen. Tester (D-MT)	59%	47%
Sen. Ensign (R-NV)	48%	43%
Sen. Bingaman (D-NM)	76%	45%
Sen. Conrad (D-ND)	81%	52%
Sen. Webb (D-VA)	60%	44%
Sen. Kohl (D-WI)	78%	50%
State Average	66.2%	47.3%

There was no statewide exit poll in Indiana in 2006. Figures are for Sen. Kennedy's re-election in 2006. No exit polls were available for the special election in Massachusetts in 2010. Figures are for Sen. Ensign's re-election in 2006. Sen. Heller was appointed to the position after Sen. Ensign resigned.

Although midterm elections tend to result in a slightly different composition of the electorate, moderates have played a major role in presidential election years as well. Indeed, moderates have constituted the largest segment of the electorate—a plurality of voters, outnumbering liberals and conservatives—in every presidential election of the modern era. During the past 9 presidential elections—since 1976—moderates have composed an average 48% of the electorate.

National Electorate in Presidential Elections

	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives
1976	20%	49%	32%
1980	17%	51%	32%
1984	17%	47%	36%
1988	18%	45%	33%
1992	21%	49%	30%
1996	20%	47%	33%
2000	20%	50%	29%
2004	21%	46%	34%
2008	22%	44%	34%
Average	19.6%	47.6%	32.6%

Clearly, the influence of moderate voters cannot be overstated, especially for Democratic candidates, who start with a smaller liberal base. For Democrats in the Senate battlegrounds, winning a moderate majority is necessary for victory, and for many, a mere majority isn't sufficient to carry the day.

State-by-State Analysis Hawaii: Blue Hawaii?

Democratic Senator Daniel Akaka—appointed to the U.S. Senate in 1990 and subsequently re-elected to serve 3 full terms—announced his retirement in 2011, leaving the seat open for November. The Republican nominee will likely be former Hawaii Governor Linda Lingle. There are 2 Democratic candidates vying for the nomination—Representative Mazie Hirono (HI-1) and former Representative Ed Case. The primaries will be held on August 11, 2012. Most current polling has both Rep. Hirono and Case leading Lingle.

Moderates composed a majority of the Hawaiian electorate in 2006 and a plurality in 2000—the last 2 cycles for this Senate seat. Sen. Akaka won 64% of moderates in 2006 and nearly three-quarters in 2000. However, Sen. Akaka has not had a competitive race since he won the 1990 special election. Over the last 2 Presidential cycles—2008 and 2004—the number of moderates in the Hawaiian electorate has remained stable

at 48%. Liberals composed 28% of the state's electorate in 2008 (29% in 2004) and conservatives 24% in 2008 (23% in 2004).

Hawaii Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	26%	24%	48%	52%	26%	24%
Sen. Akaka (D) Won	84%	71%	74%	64%	56%	42%
Challengers Won	8%	26%	25%	35%	42%	55%

In 2000, Sen. Akaka (D) defeated John Carroll (R). In 2006, Sen. Akaka defeated Cynthia Thielen (R).

If we assume that the number of moderates is consistently around 48% and liberals and conservatives are roughly split even, then either candidate would need 51% of the moderate vote to win.

Indiana: Hoosiers

Republican Senator Richard Lugar—first elected to the Senate in 1976—was defeated by State Treasurer Richard Mourdock in the primary. The Democratic nominee is Rep. Joe Donnelly (IN-2)—elected in 2006 and subsequently reelected for two more terms.

Sen. Lugar did not have a major party rival in 2006. Thus, there is no exit polling available. But in 2000, Sen. Lugar won 87% of the conservative vote and his Democratic challenger won 56% of the liberal vote. In 2008, President Obama won 88% of liberals and Sen. McCain 78% of conservatives.

Composition of Electorate in Indiana

	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives
2000	17%	48%	35%
2004	14%	43%	43%
2008	22%	44%	34%
2010	17%	43%	40%

If the 2012 electorate remains 17% liberal and the average of 40% conservative, then moderates would compose 43% of the electorate. For Rep. Donnelly to win in November, he will

need to secure approximately 69% of moderates, while Mourdock only likely needs 32%.

Massachusetts: The Departed

Republican Senator Scott Brown—elected to the Senate in 2010—replaced veteran Sen. Edward Kennedy by defeating challenger Martha Coakley. This November he will face Elizabeth Warren, best known as chair of the committee tasked with overseeing TARP and spearheading the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. Massachusetts skews more liberal than conservative in most years, with moderates comprising half of the voters in recent elections.*

As an aside, Independents now outnumber both Democrats and Republicans in Massachusetts.

There was no exit poll for Sen. Brown's election in 2010. Sen. Kennedy won supermajorities of moderates in the past 2 elections; however, he was not seriously contested in either. In 2008, moderates comprised 46% of the electorate and 45% in 2004. In the last 3 presidential elections, liberals have outnumbered conservatives by 11–13 points. If we assume 46% of voters in November will be moderates, and liberals maintain their 10 point advantage, the electorate would be 32% liberal and 22% conservative.

Massachusetts Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	36%	27%	40%	50%	24%	23%
Sen. Kennedy (D) Won	90%	94%	78%	68%	40%	38%
Challengers Won	9%	5%	21%	31%	54%	62%

In 2000, Sen. Kennedy defeated Jack Robinson (Republican) and Carla Howell (Libertarian). Their percentages were added to calculate the total amount won by the "challengers" that year. In 2006, Sen. Kennedy defeated Kenneth Chase.

If Sen. Brown and Warren each secure 85% of the conservatives and liberals (and 15% of the liberals and conservatives), respectively, Sen. Brown would need 58% of moderates and Warren 43% to win in November. It is worth noting that this is the only state among the toss-ups where

the Republican nominee needs to garner more of the moderate vote than the Democrat to win.

Missouri: Meet Me in St. Louis

Democratic Senator Claire McCaskill—elected to the Senate in 2006—is up for re-election. Three Republicans are vying for the chance to unseat the one-term Senator—Rep. Todd Akin (MO-2), John Brunner, and former state treasurer Sarah Steelman. Steelman is currently the frontrunner, with Rep. Akin in second. But neither is polling above 50%. In the general match-up, no polls show Sen. McCaskill over 50%, and the horserace between her and either Akin or Steelman is within the margin-of-error.

Missouri Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	20%	20%	47%	43%	34%	37%
Sen. McCaskill (D) Won	81%	83%	59%	62%	19%	15%
Challengers Won	18%	13%	40%	35%	79%	83%

Figures for 2000 reflect the amount won by Sen. Carnahan (D), not Sen. McCaskill. In 2000, Sen. Carnahan defeated John Ashcroft (R). In 2006, Sen. McCaskill defeated Jim Talent (R).

With liberals accounting for only 20% of the electorate in the last 2 Senate cycles, and 19% in the last 2 presidential races (2008 and 2004), securing moderates is Sen. McCaskill's best chance for reelection. Moderates composed 45% of the electorate in the last 2 presidential cycles, and they are likely to hold that share this time around. If Sen. McCaskill can secure 85% of the liberal vote and 15% of the conservative vote, she would still need 62% of moderates to win (while her challenger would only need 39%). President Obama won 86% of liberals and 61% of moderates in Missouri in 2008.

Montana: Cloudy With A Chance of Meatballs

Democratic Senator Jon Tester—elected to the Senate in 2006—is up for re-election. He defeated three-term incumbent Sen. Conrad Burns (R) in 2006. His likely

challenger is Republican Representative Danny Rehberg (MT-at-large), currently running uncontested.

Montana Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	20%	19%	45%	47%	35%	34%
Sen. Tester (D) Won	83%	89%	54%	59%	16%	15%
Sen. Burns (R) Won	17%	9%	45%	38%	80%	83%

The figures for 2000 reflect the amount won by Brian Schweitzer, the Democratic challenger to incumbent Sen. Burns, not Sen. Tester.

In the last 2 presidential elections, moderates have comprised 46% of the Montana electorate. Liberals will likely comprise 20% of the electorate (they were 21% in 2008 and 18% in 2004) and conservatives 34% (they were 34% in 2008 and 36% in 2004) in 2012. If we assume Sen. Tester wins 85% of liberals and 15% of conservatives, and Rep. Rehberg wins 85% of conservatives and 15% of liberals, then Sen. Tester would need 61% of moderates—and Rep. Rehberg 40%—to win in November.

Nevada: What Happens in Vegas

Republican Senator Dean Heller—appointed in March 2011 to serve the rest of Sen. Ensign's term after he resigned—is running for reelection against Democratic candidate Rep. Shelley Berkley. This race is expected to be very close and may come down to a handful of votes.

Nevada Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	23%	21%	50%	43%	28%	36%
Sen. Ensign (R) Won	40%	21%	50%	48%	80%	86%
Challengers Won	56%	77%	46%	49%	17%	10%

Sen. Ensign resigned from office in 2011 and Sen. Heller was appointed to fill the vacancy. In 2000, Sen. Ensign defeated Edward Bernstein for the open seat. In 2006, Sen. Ensign defeated Jack Carter.

In the last 2 presidential elections, conservatives comprised 34% of the electorate in Nevada, while liberals were 22% in 2008 (18% in 2004) and moderates were 44% in 2008 (47% in 2004). Liberals—whose share of the electorate fell to 19% in 2010—are likely to comprise 20% of the electorate in 2012,

and conservatives at least 34%, leaving moderates as 46%. In 2010, Sen. Reid won 83% of the liberal vote and Sharon Angle 77% of the conservative vote. If we assume this year's candidates win liberals and conservatives with an 85%–15% split, Rep. Berkley would need 61% of moderates and Sen. Heller 40% to win in November.

New Mexico: City Slickers

Five-term Democratic Senator Jeff Bingaman announced his retirement in 2011. While the primary field is crowded on both sides, the likely nominees are Rep. Martin Heinrich (D) and former Rep. Heather Wilson (R). Rep. Heinrich holds Wilson's former seat, which she vacated in 2008 in an unsuccessful bid for the U.S. Senate. Both candidates hail from the Albuquerque area.

New Mexico Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	22%	24%	47%	45%	31%	32%
Sen. Bingaman (D) Won	96%	92%	67%	76%	33%	36%
Challengers Won	4%	7%	32%	21%	67%	60%

In 2000, Sen. Bingaman defeated Bill Redmond. In 2006, Sen. Bingaman defeated Allen McCulloch.

In the last 3 presidential elections, liberals have comprised 22% of New Mexico's electorate. Conservatives peaked at 36% in 2004—likely the high water mark—and fell to 34% in 2008. If we assume that liberals comprise 22% and conservatives 34% of the voters in November, then moderates would maintain their share of the electorate at 44% this fall. If Rep. Heinrich can secure 85% of the liberal vote and 15% of the conservative vote, he will need 60% of the moderates to win. However, if Rep. Wilson can secure 85% of the conservative vote and 15% of the liberal vote, she will only need 41% of moderates to secure victory.

North Dakota: Plains, Trains, and Automobiles

Democratic Senator Kent Conrad—first elected in 1986—is retiring. Rep. Rick Berg is the likely Republican nominee.

Former attorney general Heidi Heitkamp is the likely Democratic nominee. Both candidates are considered strong by their respective parties. Heitkamp's appeal is to Independents, with some polls giving her a 10+ point lead among that group. Rep. Berg unseated nine-term Democratic Rep. Earl Pomeroy in the midterms.

North Dakota Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	11%	13%	50%	52%	39%	35%
Sen. Conrad (D) Won	84%	87%	73%	81%	36%	41%
Challengers Won	16%	13%	27%	18%	61%	55%

In 2000, Sen. Conrad defeated Duane Sand. In 2006, Sen. Conrad defeated Dwight Grotberg.

During the last 2 presidential elections, the share of liberals in the state's electorate ranged from 13% (2004) to 16% (2008) and conservatives from 33% (2004) to 36% (2008). It is unlikely that conservatives will fall as a percentage of the North Dakota electorate this year. The most likely scenario is for the 2008 electorate to remain steady, at 16% liberal, 48% moderate, and 36% conservative. If the Democratic and Republican candidates can win 85% of liberals and conservatives, respectively—and 15% of conservatives for the Democrat and 15% of liberals for the Republican—then Heitkamp would need 65% of moderates and Rep. Berg 36% to win in November.

Virginia: The Usual Suspects

With Democratic Senator Jim Webb retiring after one-term, the Virginia Senate race—pitting 2 former Governors against each other—is likely to be one of the costliest this year.

Republican former Senator and Governor George Allen is running for the seat he lost in 2006. Democratic former Governor and DNC Chair Tim Kaine will be his opponent.

Virginia Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	20%	21%	49%	44%	31%	35%
Sen. Webb (D) Won	78%	88%	54%	60%	16%	12%
Sen. Allen (R) Won	22%	12%	46%	40%	84%	88%

In 2000, Sen. Allen defeated incumbent Democratic Sen. Chuck Robb. In 2006, Sen. Webb defeated Sen. Allen. The data for 2000 is for Sen. Robb (D) and for 2006 is Sen. Webb (D).

The Virginia electorate has been changing in the past few presidential cycles, as the conservative share increased to 38% in 2004 before falling to 33% in 2008. This fall's electorate is likely to be approximately 21% liberal, 46% moderate, and 33% conservative. Virginia will be a highly competitive race, with Allen and Kaine likely securing 85% (or more) of the conservative and liberal vote, respectively. In that scenario, Allen would need 41% and Kaine 60% of the moderate vote to win in November.

Wisconsin: Fight Club

Democratic Senator Herb Kohl—elected in 1988 and subsequently reelected for 4 more terms—is retiring after his term ends. One Democrat—Rep. Tammy Baldwin (WI-2)—is running for the open seat. Four Republicans—including frontrunners former Gov. Tommy Thompson and former Rep. Mark Neumann—are vying for the nomination. Thompson was a popular Governor but has been attacked in the primary as too moderate. Neumann is the Tea Party choice.

Wisconsin Senate Elections by Ideology*

	Liberals		Moderates		Conservatives	
	2000	2006	2000	2006	2000	2006
State Electorate	18%	24%	53%	50%	29%	26%
Sen. Kohl (D) Won	89%	87%	70%	78%	30%	33%
Challengers Won	9%	9%	29%	20%	70%	65%

In 2000, Sen. Kohl defeated Gill. In 2006, Sen. Kohl defeated Lorge.

While moderates were a majority of the electorate in 2000, they fell to 47% in the most recent presidential election. At the same time, liberals increased their share to 23% in 2008, with conservatives at 31%. The electorate is likely to remain unchanged in 2012, partially because Wisconsin's politics have become very polarized since Gov. Scott Walker came to power in 2011.

If we assume Rep. Baldwin will win 85% of the liberal vote and 15% of the conservative, and the Republican nominee will flip those percentages, then she would need at least 56% of

moderates and the Republican candidate 44% to win in November.

Conclusion

In the key Senate races which will determine control of that body in the next Congress, moderate voters will be the kingmakers—especially for Democrats. To be victorious, Democratic Senate candidates in all but one of these states must win a moderate majority in November—and in most, they must clear 60% of the moderate vote. Now more than ever, Democrats must keep their eye on the center of the electorate to keep the majority in the Senate

Appendix A

U.S. Senate Seats Up for Election in 2012

Senator	Seat	Likely Challengers	2012 Primary Date	Third Way Prediction
Sen. Jon Kyl (R-AZ)	Open	Rep. Jeff Flake (R) Wil Cardon (R) Richard Carmona (D)	August 28	Lean R
Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-CA)	Incumbent	20+, none viable	June 5	Safe D
Sen. Joe Lieberman (I-CT)	Open	Rep. Chris Murphy (D) Susan Bysiewicz (D) Linda McMahon (R) Former Rep. Chris Shays (R)	August 14	Likely D
Sen. Tom Carper (D-DE)	Incumbent	None	September 11	Safe D
Sen. Bill Nelson (D-FL)	Incumbent	Rep. Connie Mack IV (R) George LeMieux (R) +4 GOP Challengers	August 14	Lean D
Sen. Daniel Akaka (D-HI)	Open	Linda Lingle (R) Rep. Mazie Hirono (D) Ed Case (D)	August 11	Toss-up
Sen. Richard Lugar (R-IN)	Incumbent	Richard Mourdock (R) Rep. Joe Donnelly (D)	May 8	Toss-up
Sen. Olympia Snowe (R-ME)	Open	Charles Summers (R) Matthew Dunlap (D) Cynthia Hill (D) Angus King (I)	June 12	Lean D/I
Sen. Ben Cardin (D-MD)	Incumbent	Daniel Bongino (R)	April 3	Safe D
Sen. Scott Brown (R-MA)	Incumbent	Elizabeth Warren (D)	September 6	Toss-up
Sen. Amy Klobuchar (D-MN)	Incumbent	3+ GOP Challengers	August 14	Safe D
Sen. Debbie Stabenow (D-MI)	Incumbent	Pete Hoekstra (R) +7 others	August 7	Likely D
Sen. Roger Wicker (R-MS)	Incumbent	Albert N. Gore (D)	March 13	Safe R
Sen. Claire McCaskill (D-MO)	Incumbent	Rep. Todd Akin (R) John Brunner (R) Sarah Steelman (R) +5 other GOP challengers	August 7	Toss-up
Sen. Jon Tester (D-MT)	Incumbent	Rep. Denny Rehberg (R)	June 5	Toss-up
Sen. Ben Nelson (D-NE)	Open	Bob Kerrey (D) Sen. Deb Fischer (R)	May 15	Lean R
Sen. Dean Heller (R-NV)	Incumbent	Rep. Shelley Berkley (D) +4 other GOP challengers	June 12	Toss-up
Sen. Robert Menendez (D-NJ)	Incumbent	4 GOP challengers	June 7	Safe D
Sen. Jeff Bingaman (D-NM)	Open	Hector Balderas (D) Rep. Martin Heinrich (D) Greg Sowards (R) Heather Wilson (R)	June 5	Toss-up
Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand (D-NY)	Incumbent	3 GOP challengers	June 26	Safe D
Sen. Kent Conrad (D-ND)	Open	Heidi Heitkamp (D) Rep. Rick Berg (R) Duane Sand (R)	June 12	Toss-up
Sen. Sherrod Brown (D-OH)	Incumbent	Josh Mandel (R)	March 6	Lean D
Sen. Bob Casey (D-PA)	Incumbent	Tom Smith (R)	April 24	Likely D
Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-RI)	Incumbent	Barry Hinckley (R)	September 11	Safe D
		7 Dem challengers		

Sen. Bob Corker (R-TN)	Incumbent	4 GOP challengers	August 2	Safe R
Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX)	Open	5 Democrats 9 Republicans	May 29	Safe R
Sen. Orrin Hatch (R-UT)	Incumbent	3 Dem challengers Dan Liljenquist (R) +8 others	June 26	Safe R
Sen. Bernie Sanders (I-VT)	Incumbent	None	August 28	Safe D/I
Sen. Jim Webb (D-VA)	Open	Tim Kaine (D) George Allen (R) + 3 others	June 12	Toss-up
Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-WA)	Incumbent	Michael Baumgartner (R)	August 7	Likely D
Sen. Joe Manchin (D-WV)	Incumbent	Sheirl Fletcher (D) John Raese (R)	May 8	Likely D
Sen. Herb Kohl (D-WI)	Open	Rep. Tammy Baldwin (D) Tommy Thompson (R) Mark Neumann (R) Jeff Fitzgerald (R) John Schiess (R)	August 14	Toss-up
Sen. John Barrasso (R-WY)	Incumbent	None	August 21	Safe R

Appendix B

All of the exit poll data used in this report (except where indicated in the table below) is located at the Roper Center for Public Opinion Research at the University of Connecticut.

The data on national election polls from 1978-2010 can be accessed at:

http://www.ropercenter.uconn.edu/elections/common/exitpolls.html#.T7QhrVL5DQ.

National Exit Poll Data Sources

Year	Citation
1976	CBS News Poll: 1976 National Election Day Exit Poll [USCBS1976-NATELEC]. CBS News, Fielded November 2, 1976.
1980	CBS News/New York Times Poll: National election exit poll, 1980 [USCBSNYT1980-NATELEC]. CBS News and New York Times, Fielded November 4, 1980.
1984	CBS News/New York Times Poll: National Election Day Survey, 1984 [USCBS-NYT1984-NATELEC]. CBS News and New York Times, Fielded November 6, 1984.
1988	ABC News Poll: National Election Day Exit Poll, 1988 [USABC1988-7477NATELEC]. ABC News, Fielded November 8, 1988.
1992	Voter Research and Surveys: National Election Day Exit Poll, 1992 [USVRS1992-NATELEC]. ABC News/CBS News/NBC News/CNN, Conducted by Voter Research & Surveys, Fielded November 3, 1992.
1996	Voter News Service National Exit Poll, 1996 [USVNS1996-NATELEC]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 5, 1996.
2000	VNS 2000 Election: National Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-NATELEC]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.
2004	National Election Pool Poll # 2004-NATELEC: National Election Day Exit Poll [US-MI2004-NATELEC]. Survey by National Election Poll, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.
2008	National Election Pool Poll # 2008-NATELEC: National Election Day Exit Poll [US-MI2008-NATELEC]. Survey by National Election Poll, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 4, 2008.

The data on state election polls from 1978-2010 can be accessed at:

 $\frac{http://www.ropercenter.uconn.edu/elections/common/state}{_exitpolls.html\#.T7QgLlL5DQg}.$

State Exit Poll Data Sources

State	Year	Citation			
Hawaii	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Hawaii Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-HI]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.			
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-HI: Hawaii Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-HI]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.			
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-HI: Hawaii Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-HI]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.			
	2008	"Exit Polls: Hawaii," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=HIP00p1.			
Indiana	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Indiana Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-IN]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.			
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-IN: Indiana Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-IN]. Survey by Nationa Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsk International, Fielded November 2, 2004.			
	2008	"Exit Polls: Indiana," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=INP00p1.			
	2010	"Exit Polls: Indiana," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2010/results/polls/#val=INS01p1.			
Massachusetts	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Massachusetts Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-MA]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.			
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-MA: Massachusetts Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-MA]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.			
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-MA: Massachusetts Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-MA]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.			
	2008	"Exit Polls: Massachusetts," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=MAP00p1.			
Missouri	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Missouri Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-MO]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.			
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-MO: Missouri Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-MO]. Survey by Na- tional Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/ Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.			
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-MA: Massachusetts Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-MA]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.			
	2008	"Exit Polls: Missouri," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=MOP00p1.			
Montana	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Montana Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-MT]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.			
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-MT: Montana Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-MT]. Survey by Na- tional Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/ Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.			
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-MT: Montana Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-MT]. Survey by Na- tional Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/ Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.			
	2008	"Exit Polls: Montana," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=MTP00p1.			

Nevada	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Nevada Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-NV]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.		
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-NV: Nevada Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-NV]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.		
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-NV: Nevada Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-NV]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.		
	2008	"Exit Polls: Nevada," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=NVP00p1.		
	2010	"Exit Polls: Nevada," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2010/results/polls/#val=NVS01p1.		
New Mexico	2000	VNS 2000 Election: New Mexico Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-NM]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.		
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-NM: New Mexico Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-NM]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.		
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-NM: New Mexico Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-NM]. Survey by Na- tional Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/ Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.		
	2008	"Exit Polls: Nevada," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=NMP00p1.		
North Dakota	2000	VNS 2000 Election: North Dakota Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-ND]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.		
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-ND: North Dakota Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-ND]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.		
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-ND: North Dakota Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-ND]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.		
	2008	"Exit Polls: North Dakota," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=NDP00p1.		
	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Virginia Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-VA]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.		
Virginia	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-VA: Virginia Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-VA]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.		
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-VA: Virginia Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-VA]. Survey by National Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.		
	2008	"Exit Polls: Virginia," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/polls/#val=VAP00p1.		
Wisconsin	2000	VNS 2000 Election: Wisconsin Election Day Exit Poll [USVNS2000-STELEC-WI]. Conducted by Voter News Service, Fielded November 7, 2000.		
	2004	National Election Pool Poll #2004—STELEC-WI: Wisconsin Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-WI]. Survey by Na- tional Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/ Mitofsky International, Fielded November 2, 2004.		
	2006	National Election Pool Poll #2006—STELEC-WI: Wisconsin Election Day Exit Poll [USMI2004-STELEC-WI]. Survey by Na- tional Election Pool, Conducted by Edison Media Research/ Mitofsky International, Fielded November 7, 2006.		
	2008	"Exit Polls: Wisconsin," CNN, Accessed May 16, 2012. Available at: http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2008/results/		

polls/#val=WIP00p1.

TOPICS

AMERICAN ELECTORATE 139